arXiv:0906.2217v1 [math.PR] 11 Jun 2009

Asymptotic Results for the Two-parameter Poisson-Dirichlet Distribution

Shui Feng*
Department of Mathematics
and Statistics
McMaster University
Hamilton, Ontario
Canada L8S 4K1
shuifeng@mcmaster.ca

Fuqing Gao†
School of Mathematics and Statistics
Wuhan University
Wuhan 430072, China
fqgao@whu.edu.cn

Abstract

The two-parameter Poisson-Dirichlet distribution is the law of a sequence of decreasing nonnegative random variables with total sum one. It can be constructed from stable and Gamma subordinators with the two-parameters, α and θ, corresponding to the stable component and Gamma component respectively. The moderate deviation principles are established for the two-parameter Poisson-Dirichlet distribution and the corresponding homozygosity when θ approaches infinity, and the large deviation principle is established for the two-parameter Poisson-Dirichlet distribution when both α and θ approach zero.

Key words: Poisson-Dirichlet distribution, two-parameter Poisson-Dirichlet distribution, GEM representation, homozygosity, large deviations, moderate deviations.

AMS 1991 subject classifications: Primary: 60F10; Secondary: 92D10.

1 Introduction

For α in $(0,1)$ and $\theta > -\alpha$, let $U_k, k = 1, 2, \cdots$, be a sequence of independent random variables such that U_k has $Beta(1-\alpha, \theta + k\alpha)$ distribution. Set

$$X_1^{\alpha,\theta} = U_1,\ X_n^{\alpha,\theta} = (1-U_1)\cdots(1-U_{n-1})U_n,\ n \geq 2. \tag{1.1}$$

---

*Research supported by the Natural Science and Engineering Research Council of Canada
†Research supported by the NSF of China(No.10571139).

Then with probability one

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} X_k^{\alpha,\theta} = 1,$$

and the law of $(X_1^{\alpha,\theta}, X_2^{\alpha,\theta}, \cdots)$ is called the two-parameter GEM distribution.

Let $\mathbf{P}(\alpha,\theta) = (P_1(\alpha,\theta), P_2(\alpha,\theta), \cdots)$ denote the descending order statistic of $(X_1^{\alpha,\theta}, X_2^{\alpha,\theta}, \cdots)$. The law of $\mathbf{P}(\alpha,\theta)$ is called the two-parameter Poisson-Dirichlet distribution and is denoted by $\Pi_{\alpha,\theta}$. The well-known one-parameter Poisson-Dirichlet distribution corresponds to $\alpha = 0$.

For each integer $m \geq 2$, taking a random sample of size m from a population with the two-parameter Poisson-Dirichlet distribution. Given the population proportion $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, p_2, \ldots)$, the probability that all samples are of the same type is given by

$$H_m(\mathbf{p}) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} p_i^m,$$

which is referred to as the homozygosity of order m.

The main properties of the two-parameter Poisson-Dirichlet distribution are studied in Pitman and Yor [17] including relations to subordinators, Markov chains, Brownian motion and Brownian bridges. The detailed calculations of moments and parameter estimations were carried out in Carlton [2]. In [6] and the references therein one can find connections between two-parameter Poisson-Dirichlet distribution and models in physics including mean-field spin glasses, random map models, fragmentation, and returns of a random walk to origin. The two-parameter Poisson-Dirichlet distribution has also been used in macroeconomics and finance ([1]).

Many properties of the one-parameter Poisson-Dirichlet distribution have generalizations in the two-parameter setting including but not limited to the sampling formula (cf. [8], [16]), the Markov-Krein identity (cf. [7], [18]), and subordinator representation (cf. [13], [17]). Recently, a large deviation principle (henceforth, LDP)is established in [9] for the two-parameter Poisson-Dirichlet distribution when θ goes to infinity. This is a generalization to the LDP result for the one-parameter Poisson-Dirichlet distribution in [3]. Our first result here establishes the corresponding moderate deviation principle (henceforth, MDP). This can be viewed as a generalization of the MDP result in [11] to the two-parameter setting. The MDP for the homozygosity is also established generalizing corresponding result in [11]. In order to apply the Campbell's theorem, we turn to a representation of the two-parameter Poisson-Dirichlet distribution obtained in [16].

When $\alpha = 0$, the one-parameter Poisson-Dirichlet distribution converges to $\delta_{(1,0,\ldots)}$ as θ goes to zero. The corresponding LDP is established in [10] where a structure called "energy ladder" is revealed. Our second main result generalizes this result to the two-parameter Poisson-Dirichlet distribution when both α and θ go to zero. It turns out that the large deviation speed will depend on α if it converges to zero at a slower speed than that of θ.

The current paper is organized as follows. Distributional results are derived in Section 2 using the change of measure formula and the subordinator representation. Section 3 is dedicated to establishing the MDP for $\Pi_{\alpha,\theta}$ when θ goes to infinity. The large θ MDP for the homozygosity is established in Section 4. In Section 5 we prove the LDP for $\Pi_{\alpha,\theta}$ when both α and θ go to zero.

2 Marginal Distributions

In this section, we derive the marginal distributions of the two-parameter Poisson-Dirichlet distribution. The basic tools are the change of measure formula and the subordinator representation. For general concepts and theorems on MDP and LDP, we will refer to [5].

¿From now on, the parameter θ will be assumed to be positive and α is in $(0,1)$. Let $\{\rho_s, s \geq 0\}$ be a subordinator, an increasing process with stationary independent increment, with no drift component. The Laplace transform of ρ_s is then given by

$$E\left(\exp(-\lambda\rho_s)\right) = \exp\left\{s\int_0^\infty (e^{-\lambda x}-1)\Lambda(dx)\right\}, \lambda \geq 0, \tag{2.1}$$

where Λ is the Lévy measure on $(0,+\infty)$ describing the distribution of the jump sizes. Let $V_1(\rho_s) \geq V_2(\rho_s) \geq \cdots$ denote the jump sizes of $\{\rho_s, s \geq 0\}$ over $[0,s)$ in decreasing order.

If

$$\Lambda(dx) = c_\alpha x^{-(1+\alpha)}dx.$$

for some $c_\alpha > 0$, then the subordinator is called a stable subordinator with index α and is denoted by $\{\tau_s, s \geq 0\}$. Without loss of generality, we choose $c_\alpha = \frac{\alpha}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}$ in this paper.

The next result is from [17].

Proposition 2.1 (Pitman and Yor). *Let $\{\sigma_s : s \geq 0\}$ and $\{\gamma_s : s \geq 0\}$ be two independent subordinators with respective Lévy measures $\alpha C x^{-(\alpha+1)}e^{-x}dx$ and $x^{-1}e^{-x}dx$ for some $C > 0$. Let*

$$\zeta(\alpha,\theta) = \frac{\gamma_{\theta/\alpha}}{C\Gamma(1-\alpha)}.$$

Then $T = T(\alpha,\theta) = \sigma_{\zeta(\alpha,\theta)}$, and

$$\left(\frac{V_1(T)}{T}, \frac{V_2(T)}{T}, \dots\right)$$

are independent with respective laws the Gamma$(\theta,1)$ distribution and the two-parameter Poisson-Dirichlet distribution $\Pi_{\alpha,\theta}$.

Let $E_{\alpha,\theta}$ denote the expectation with respect to $\Pi_{\alpha,\theta}$. For $n \geq 1$, set

$$C_{\alpha,\theta} = \frac{\Gamma(\theta+1)}{\Gamma(\frac{\theta}{\alpha}+1)}, \tag{2.2}$$

$$C_{\alpha,\theta,n} = \frac{\Gamma(\theta+1)\Gamma(\frac{\theta}{\alpha}+n)\alpha^{n-1}}{\Gamma(\theta+n\alpha)\Gamma(\frac{\theta}{\alpha}+1)\Gamma(1-\alpha)^n}. \tag{2.3}$$

The following change of measure formula is obtained in [15].

Proposition 2.2 (Perman, Pitman and Yor). *For any bounded measurable function f on $\mathbb{R}_+^\infty$,*

$$E_{\alpha,\theta}(f(P_1,P_2,\ldots)) = C_{\alpha,\theta}E\left(\tau_1^{-\theta} f\left(\frac{V_1(\tau_1)}{\tau_1},\frac{V_2(\tau_1)}{\tau_1},\ldots\right)\right), \tag{2.4}$$

where the law of

$$\left(\frac{V_1(\tau_1)}{\tau_1},\frac{V_2(\tau_1)}{\tau_1},\cdots\right)$$

is $\Pi_{\alpha,0}$.

Now we are ready to derive the following distributional results.

Theorem 2.3 *For each $\beta > 0$, define*

$$g_{\alpha,\beta}(x) = P\left(P_1(\alpha,\beta) \le x\right). \tag{2.5}$$

Then for any $n \ge 1$, the joint density function of $(P_1(\alpha,\theta),\cdots,P_n(\alpha,\theta))$ is given by

$$h_{\alpha,\theta,n}(p_1,\cdots,p_n) = C_{\alpha,\theta,n}\frac{(1-\sum_{i=1}^n p_i)^{\theta+n\alpha-1}}{(\prod_{i=1}^n p_i)^{1+\alpha}} g_{\alpha,\theta+n\alpha}\left(\frac{p_n}{1-\sum_{i=1}^n p_i}\right). \tag{2.6}$$

Proof By Proposition 2.2 and Perman's formula (cf. [14]), for any non-negative product measurable function f and any any $n > 1$, the joint density function of $\left(\tau_1, \frac{V_1(\tau_1)}{\tau_1},\cdots,\frac{V_n(\tau_1)}{\tau_1}\right)$ is given by

$$\phi_n\left(t,p_1,\cdots,p_n\right) = (c_\alpha)^{n-1}\hat{p}_n^{-1}\left(p_1\cdots p_{n-1}\right)^{-(1+\alpha)} t^{-(\theta+(n-1)\alpha)}\phi_1\left(t\hat{p}_n, p_n/\hat{p}_n\right). \tag{2.7}$$

where

$$\hat{p}_n = 1 - p_1 - \cdots - p_{n-1}, \tag{2.8}$$

and $\phi_1(t,u)$ satisfies

$$\phi_1(t,u) = c_\alpha t^{-\alpha}u^{-(1+\alpha)}\int_0^{\frac{u}{1-u}\wedge 1}\phi_1(t(1-u),v)dv. \tag{2.9}$$

Integrating out the t coordinate, it follows from (2.9) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& h_{\alpha,\theta,n}\left(p_1,\cdots,p_n\right) \qquad (2.10)\\
= \; & C_{\alpha,\theta}(c_\alpha)^{n-1}\hat{p}_n^{-1}\left(p_1\cdots p_{n-1}\right)^{-(1+\alpha)}\int_0^\infty t^{-(\theta+(n-1)\alpha)}\phi_1\left(t\hat{p}_n, p_n/\hat{p}_n\right)dt\\
= \; & C_{\alpha,\theta}(c_\alpha)^{n-1}\hat{p}_n^{\theta+(n-1)\alpha-2}\left(p_1\cdots p_{n-1}\right)^{-(1+\alpha)}\int_0^\infty s^{-(\theta+(n-1)\alpha)}\phi_1\left(s, p_n/\hat{p}_n\right)ds\\
= \; & C_{\alpha,\theta}(c_\alpha)^n\frac{\hat{p}_n^{\theta+n\alpha-1}}{\left(p_1\cdots p_{n-1}p_n\right)^{(1+\alpha)}}\int_0^{\frac{p_n}{\hat{p}_{n+1}}\wedge 1}dx\int_0^\infty s^{-(\theta+n\alpha)}\phi_1\left(s\left(1-p_n/\hat{p}_n\right),x\right)ds\\
= \; & C_{\alpha,\theta}(c_\alpha)^n\frac{\left(\hat{p}_{n+1}\right)^{\theta+n\alpha-1}}{\left(p_1\cdots p_{n-1}p_n\right)^{(1+\alpha)}}\int_0^{\frac{p_n}{\hat{p}_{n+1}}\wedge 1}dx\int_0^\infty u^{-(\theta+n\alpha)}\phi_1(u,x)du\\
= \; & \frac{C_{\alpha,\theta}(c_\alpha)^n}{C_{\alpha,\theta+n\alpha}}\frac{\left(\hat{p}_{n+1}\right)^{\theta+n\alpha-1}}{\left(p_1\cdots p_{n-1}p_n\right)^{(1+\alpha)}}g_{\alpha,\theta+n\alpha}\left(\frac{p_n}{1-\sum_{i=1}^n p_i}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

which leads to (2.6).

□

Remark. This result appears in Handa [12] where a different proof is used.

Theorem 2.4 *For any $s > 0$,*

$$\begin{aligned}\nu_{\alpha,\theta,1}(s) &= P(V_1(T) \leq s) \qquad (2.11)\\ &= \left(1 + c_\alpha s^{-\alpha} \int_1^\infty z^{-(1+\alpha)} e^{-sz} dz\right)^{-\theta/\alpha}.\end{aligned}$$

Proof For each $s > 0$, it follows from Proposition 2.1 and the property of the Poisson random measure that

$$\begin{aligned}\nu_{\alpha,\theta,1}(s) &= E(P(V_1(T) \leq s | \zeta(\alpha,\theta)))\\ &= E\left(\exp\left\{-\alpha C \zeta(\alpha,\theta) \int_s^\infty x^{-(\alpha+1)} e^{-x} dx\right\}\right)\\ &= E\left(\exp\left\{-c_\alpha \gamma_{\theta/\alpha} s^{-\alpha} \int_1^\infty z^{-(\alpha+1)} e^{-sz} dz\right\}\right)\end{aligned}$$

which leads to (2.11).

□

3 Moderate Deviations for the two-parameter Poisson-Dirichlet Distribution

By theorem 6.1 in [12], when θ goes to infinity $\mathbf{P}(\alpha,\theta) = (P_1(\alpha,\theta), P_2(\alpha,\theta), \cdots)$ approaches a non-trivial random sequence when scaled by a factor of θ and shifted by

$$\beta(\alpha,\theta) = \log\theta - (\alpha+1)\log\log\theta - \log\Gamma(1-\alpha).$$

In [9], the LDP has been established associated with the limit

$$\lim_{\theta\to\infty} \mathbf{P}(\alpha,\theta) = (0, 0, \ldots).$$

Replacing the scaling factor by $a(\theta)$ satisfying

$$\lim_{\theta\to\infty} \frac{a(\theta)}{\theta} = 0, \quad \lim_{\theta\to\infty} a(\theta) = \infty, \qquad (3.1)$$

we still have

$$\lim_{\theta\to\infty} a(\theta)\left(\mathbf{P}(\alpha,\theta)-\frac{\beta(\alpha,\theta)}{\theta}(1,1,\ldots)\right)\to(0,0,\ldots). \tag{3.2}$$

The LDP associated with (3.2) is called the MDP for $\mathbf{P}(\alpha,\theta)=(P_1(\alpha,\theta),P_2(\alpha,\theta)\cdots,)$. This MDP will be established in this section through a series of lemmas.

The first lemma establishes the MDP for $V_1(T)/\theta$.

Lemma 3.1 *The MDP holds for $V_1(T)/\theta$ with speed $\frac{a(\theta)}{\theta}$ and rate function*

$$J_1(x)=\begin{cases} x, & x\geq 0\\ \infty, & \textit{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

Proof For any fixed x, we have

$$P\left\{a(\theta)\left(\frac{V_1(T)-\beta(\alpha,\theta)}{\theta}\right)\leq x\right\}=P\left(V_1(T)<\frac{\theta}{a(\theta)}x+\beta(\alpha,\theta)\right). \tag{3.3}$$

Assume that

$$\lim_{\theta\to\infty}[\frac{\theta}{a(\theta)}x+\beta(\alpha,\theta))]=+\infty.$$

Then it follows from (2.11) that

$$P\left(V_1(T)<\frac{\theta}{a(\theta)}x+\beta(\alpha,\theta)\right)\sim\left(1+\frac{c_\alpha}{(\frac{\theta}{a(\theta)}x+\beta(\alpha,\theta))^{\alpha+1}}e^{-(\frac{\theta}{a(\theta)}x+\beta(\alpha,\theta))}\right)^{-\theta/\alpha}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
&\limsup_{\theta\to\infty}\frac{a(\theta)}{\theta}\log P\left(a(\theta)\left(\frac{V_1(T)-\beta(\alpha,\theta)}{\theta}\right)\leq x\right)\\
=&\lim_{\theta\to\infty}\frac{a(\theta)}{\theta}\log\left(1+\frac{c_\alpha(\log\theta)^{\alpha+1}\Gamma(1-\alpha)}{\theta(\frac{\theta}{a(\theta)}x+\beta(\alpha,\theta))^{\alpha+1}}e^{-\frac{\theta}{a(\theta)}x}\right)^{-\theta/\alpha} \qquad (3.4)\\
=&\begin{cases}0, & x\geq 0\\ -\infty, & x<0\end{cases}.
\end{aligned}$$

If there exists a subsequence θ' such that the $\lim_{\theta'\to\infty}(\frac{\theta'}{a(\theta')}x+\beta(\alpha,\theta'))$ exists in $[-\infty,+\infty)$, then x must be strictly negative. Since, by Theorem 2.4, $V_1(T)$ converges to infinity as θ converges to infinity, it follows that

$$\limsup_{\theta'\to\infty}\frac{a(\theta')}{\theta'}\log P\left(a(\theta')\left(\frac{V_1(T)-\beta(\alpha,\theta')}{\theta'}\right)\leq x\right)=-\infty. \tag{3.5}$$

Putting (3.4) and (3.5) together, one gets

$$\lim_{\theta\to\infty}\frac{a(\theta)}{\theta}\log P\left(a(\theta)\left(\frac{V_1(T)-\beta(\alpha,\theta)}{\theta}\right)\le x\right)=0,\ x\ge 0, \tag{3.6}$$

and

$$\limsup_{\theta\to\infty}\frac{a(\theta)}{\theta}\log P\left(a(\theta)\left(\frac{V_1(T)-\beta(\alpha,\theta)}{\theta}\right)\le x\right)=-\infty,\ x<0. \tag{3.7}$$

For $x \ge 0$, it follows from (3.3) and (2.11) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\limsup_{\theta\to\infty}\frac{a(\theta)}{\theta}\log P\left(a(\theta)\left(\frac{V_1(T)-\beta(\alpha,\theta)}{\theta}\right)\ge x\right)\\
&\quad=\limsup_{\theta\to\infty}\frac{a(\theta)}{\theta}\log\left(1-\left(1+\frac{c_\alpha e^{-(\frac{\theta}{a(\theta)}x+\beta(\alpha,\theta))}}{\theta(\frac{\theta}{a(\theta)}x+\beta(\alpha,\theta))^{\alpha+1}}\right)^{-\theta/\alpha}\right)\\
&\quad=\limsup_{\theta\to\infty}\frac{a(\theta)}{\theta}\log\left(1+\frac{c_\alpha e^{-(\frac{\theta}{a(\theta)}x+\beta(\alpha,\theta))}}{\theta(\frac{\theta}{a(\theta)}x+\beta(\alpha,\theta))^{\alpha+1}}\right)^{-\theta/\alpha}=-x.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

A combination of (3.8) and (3.7) implies that the laws of $a(\theta)\left(\frac{V_1(T)-\beta(\alpha,\theta)}{\theta}\right)$ is exponentially tight.

Similarly, we can get that for $x>0$ and $\delta>0$ with $x-\delta>0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{\theta\to\infty}\frac{a(\theta)}{\theta}\log P\left(a(\theta)\left(\frac{V_1(T)-\beta(\alpha,\theta)}{\theta}\right)\in(x-\delta,x+\delta)\right)\\
&\quad=\lim_{\theta\to\infty}\frac{a(\theta)}{\theta}\log P\left(a(\theta)\left(\frac{V_1(T)-\beta(\alpha,\theta)}{\theta}\right)\in(x-\delta,x+\delta)\right)\\
&\quad=-x+\delta.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

The equality (3.6) combined with (3.7) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{\delta\to 0}\limsup_{\theta\to\infty}\frac{a(\theta)}{\theta}\log P\left(a(\theta)\left(\frac{V_1(T)-\beta(\alpha,\theta)}{\theta}\right)\in(-\delta,\delta)\right)\\
&\quad=\lim_{\delta\to 0}\liminf_{\theta\to\infty}\frac{a(\theta)}{\theta}\log P\left(a(\theta)\left(\frac{V_1(T)-\beta(\alpha,\theta)}{\theta}\right)\in(-\delta,\delta)\right)\\
&\quad=0.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

The lemma now follows from (3.9), (3.10), and the exponential tightness.

□

Set

$$\gamma(\theta) = \frac{a(\theta)\beta(\alpha,\theta)}{\theta},$$

and, without loss of generality, we can assume that

$$\lim_{\theta\to\infty} \gamma(\theta) = c \in [0, +\infty].$$

It is clear that

$$\frac{a(\theta)}{\gamma^2(\theta)} = \frac{\theta^2}{a(\theta)\beta^2(\alpha,\theta)} \to \infty, \quad \theta \to \infty. \tag{3.11}$$

If $c < \infty$, it follows from Corollary 3.1 in [11] that for any $L > 0$

$$\limsup_{\theta\to\infty} \frac{a(\theta)}{\theta} \log P\left\{\gamma(\theta)|\frac{\theta}{T} - 1| \geq L\right\} = -\infty. \tag{3.12}$$

For $c = \infty$, and any $1 > \delta > 0$

$$\left\{\gamma(\theta)|\frac{\theta}{T} - 1| \geq L\right\} \subset \left\{\gamma(\theta)|\frac{T}{\theta} - 1| \geq L(1-\delta)\right\} \bigcup \left\{|\frac{T}{\theta} - 1| \geq \delta\right\}. \tag{3.13}$$

Since $\gamma(\theta) \leq \beta(\alpha,\theta)$ for large θ and $\lim_{\theta\to\infty} \frac{\beta(\alpha,\theta)}{\sqrt{\theta}} = 0$, it follows from the MDP (Theorem 3.2 in [11]) for T/θ, and (3.11) that

$$\begin{aligned} &\limsup_{\theta\to\infty} \frac{a(\theta)}{\theta} \log P\left\{\gamma(\theta)|\frac{T}{\theta} - 1| \geq (1-\delta)L\right\} \\ =&\limsup_{\theta\to\to\infty} \frac{a(\theta)}{\gamma^2(\theta)} \frac{\gamma^2(\theta)}{\theta} \log P\left\{\gamma(\theta)|\frac{T}{\theta} - 1| \geq (1-\delta)L\right\} = -\infty, \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

which combined with Corollary 3.1 in [11] and (3.13) shows that (3.12) still holds in this case. Therefore $a(\theta)(P_1(\alpha,\theta) - \frac{\beta(\alpha,\theta)}{\theta})$ and $\frac{\theta}{T}a(\theta)(\frac{V_1(T)-\beta(\alpha,\theta)}{\theta})$ are exponentially equivalent.

Since $\frac{\theta}{T}a(\theta)(\frac{V_1(T)-\beta(\alpha,\theta)}{\theta})$ is exponentially equivalent to $a(\theta)(\frac{V_1(T)-\beta(\alpha,\theta)}{\theta})$ by Lemma 2.1 and Corollary 3.1 in [11], it follows that $a(\theta)(P_1(\alpha,\theta) - \frac{\beta(\alpha,\theta)}{\theta})$ and $a(\theta)(\frac{V_1(T)-\beta(\alpha,\theta)}{\theta})$ are exponentially equivalent. Thus we have the following result.

Lemma 3.2 *The MDP holds for $P_1(\alpha,\theta)$ with speed $\frac{a(\theta)}{\theta}$ and rate function*

$$J_1(x) = \begin{cases} x, & x \geq 0 \\ \infty, & \textit{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For each $n \geq 2$, we have

Lemma 3.3 *The family* $\Big\{P\Big(a(\theta)\Big(P_1(\alpha,\theta)-\frac{\beta(\alpha,\theta)}{\theta}\cdots,P_n(\alpha,\theta)-\frac{\beta(\alpha,\theta)}{\theta}\Big)\in\cdot\Big):\ \theta>0\Big\}$ *satisfies a LDP on* $\mathbb{R}^n$ *with speed* $\frac{a(\theta)}{\theta}$ *and rate function*

$$I_n(x_1,\cdots,x_n)=\begin{cases}\displaystyle\sum_{i=1}^n x_i, & if\quad 0\le x_n\le\cdots\le x_1.\\ +\infty, & otherwise.\end{cases}\tag{3.15}$$

Proof It follows from (3.3) that for $x_1\ge x_2\cdots\ge x_n$ and $\frac{\theta}{a(\theta)}x_n+\beta(\alpha,\theta)>0$, the density function $g_{\alpha,\theta,n}(x_1,\ldots,x_n)$ of $a(\theta)\Big(P_1(\alpha,\theta)-\frac{\beta(\alpha,\theta)}{\theta}\cdots,P_n(\alpha,\theta)-\frac{\beta(\alpha,\theta)}{\theta}\Big)$ is

$$\begin{aligned}g_{\alpha,\theta,n}(x_1,\ldots,x_n)&=\left(\frac{1}{a(\theta)}\right)^n C_{n,\alpha,\theta}\left(\prod_{i=1}^n\left(\frac{\theta}{\frac{\theta}{a(\theta)}x_i+\beta(\alpha,\theta)}\right)^{\alpha+1}\right)\\ &\times\left(1-\left(\frac{\theta}{a(\theta)}\sum_{i=1}^n x_i+n\beta(\alpha,\theta)\right)/\theta\right)^{\theta+n\alpha-1}g_{\alpha,\theta+n\alpha}\left(\frac{\frac{\theta}{a(\theta)}x_n+\beta(\alpha,\theta)}{\theta-\left(\frac{\theta}{a(\theta)}\sum_{i=1}^n x_i+n\beta(\alpha,\theta)\right)}\right).\end{aligned}\tag{3.16}$$

By Theorem 2.4 and direct calculation, for $x_n>0$

$$\frac{a(\theta)}{\theta}\log g_{\alpha,\theta+n\alpha}\left(\frac{\frac{\theta}{a(\theta)}x_n+\beta(\alpha,\theta)}{\theta-\left(\frac{\theta}{a(\theta)}\sum_{i=1}^n x_i+n\beta(\alpha,\theta)\right)}\right)\to 0.$$

For $x_n<0$, set

$$\psi(n,x,\theta,\alpha)=a(\theta)\left(\frac{\frac{\theta}{a(\theta)}x_n+\beta(\alpha,\theta)}{\theta-\left(\frac{\theta}{a(\theta)}\sum_{i=1}^n x_i+n\beta(\alpha,\theta)\right)}-\frac{\beta(\alpha,\theta+n\alpha)}{\theta+n\alpha}\right).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}&g_{\alpha,\theta+n\alpha}\left(\frac{\frac{\theta}{a(\theta)}x_n+\beta(\alpha,\theta)}{\theta-\left(\frac{\theta}{a(\theta)}\sum_{i=1}^n x_i+n\beta(\alpha,\theta)\right)}\right)\\ =&P\left(a(\theta)\left(P_1(\alpha,\theta+n\alpha)-\frac{\beta(\alpha,\theta+n\alpha)}{\theta+n\alpha}\right)<\psi(n,x,\theta,\alpha)\right)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\lim_{\theta\to\infty}\psi(n,x,\theta,\alpha)=x_n<0$$

which implies that

$$\lim_{\theta\to\infty}\frac{a(\theta)}{\theta}\log g_{\alpha,\theta+n\alpha}\left(\frac{\frac{\theta}{a(\theta)}x_n+\beta(\alpha,\theta)}{\theta-\left(\frac{\theta}{a(\theta)}\sum_{i=1}^n x_i+n\beta(\alpha,\theta)\right)}\right)=-\infty.$$

Therefore

$$\frac{a(\theta)}{\theta}\log g_{\alpha,\theta,n}(x_1,\ldots,x_n)\to -\sum_{i=1}^n x_i,\ \ x_n>0, \tag{3.17}$$

$$\frac{a(\theta)}{\theta}\log g_{\alpha,\theta,n}(x_1,\ldots,x_n)\to -\infty,\ \ x_n<0,. \tag{3.18}$$

For $x_1 \geq x_2 \cdots \geq x_n$, let $B((x_1,\ldots,x_n),\delta)$ denote the closed ball centered at $(x_1,\ldots,x_n)$ with radius δ, and $B^\circ((x_1,\ldots,x_n),\delta)$ be the corresponding open ball. Then for $x_n>0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{\delta\to 0}\limsup_{\theta\to\infty}\frac{a(\theta)}{\theta}\log P\left(a(\theta)\Big(P_1(\alpha,\theta)-\frac{\beta(\alpha,\theta)}{\theta}\cdots,P_n(\alpha,\theta)-\frac{\beta(\alpha,\theta)}{\theta}\Big)\in B((x_1,\ldots,x_n),\delta)\right)\\
&=\lim_{\delta\to 0}\liminf_{\theta\to\infty}\frac{a(\theta)}{\theta}\log P\left(a(\theta)\Big(P_1(\alpha,\theta)-\frac{\beta(\alpha,\theta)}{\theta}\cdots,P_n(\alpha,\theta)-\frac{\beta(\alpha,\theta)}{\theta}\Big)\in B^\circ((x_1,\ldots,x_n),\delta)\right)\\
&=-\sum_{i=1}^n x_i,
\end{aligned}$$

and for any $x_n<0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{\delta\to 0}\limsup_{\theta\to\infty}\frac{a(\theta)}{\theta}\log P\left(a(\theta)\Big(P_1(\alpha,\theta)-\frac{\beta(\alpha,\theta)}{\theta}\cdots,P_n(\alpha,\theta)-\frac{\beta(\alpha,\theta)}{\theta}\Big)\in B((x_1,\ldots,x_n),\delta)\right)\\
&=\lim_{\delta\to 0}\liminf_{\theta\to\infty}\frac{a(\theta)}{\theta}\log P\left(a(\theta)\Big(P_1(\alpha,\theta)-\frac{\beta(\alpha,\theta)}{\theta}\cdots,P_n(\alpha,\theta)-\frac{\beta(\alpha,\theta)}{\theta}\Big)\in B^\circ((x_1,\ldots,x_n),\delta)\right)\\
&=-\infty,
\end{aligned}$$

If $x_{r-1}>0, x_r=0$ for some $1\leq r\leq n$, then the upper estimate is obtained from that of $a(\theta)\Big(P_1(\alpha,\theta)-\frac{\beta(\alpha,\theta)}{\theta}\cdots,P_{r-1}(\alpha,\theta)-\frac{\beta(\alpha,\theta)}{\theta}\Big)$. The lower estimates when $x_r=0$ for some $1\leq r\leq n$ are obtained by approximating the boundary with open subsets away from the boundary.

Noting that $\bigcup_{i=1}^n\{a(\theta)\Big(P_i(\alpha,\theta)-\frac{\beta(\alpha,\theta)}{\theta}\Big)>L\}=\{a(\theta)\Big(P_1(\alpha,\theta)-\frac{\beta(\alpha,\theta)}{\theta}\Big)>L\}$, it follows that

$$\lim_{L\to\infty}\limsup_{\theta\to\infty}\frac{a(\theta)}{\theta}\log P\left\{\bigcup_{i=1}^n\left\{a(\theta)\Big(P_i(\alpha,\theta)-\frac{\beta(\alpha,\theta)}{\theta}\Big)>L\right\}\right\}=-\infty. \tag{3.19}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\limsup_{\theta\to\infty}\frac{a(\theta)}{\theta}\log P\left\{\bigcup_{i=1}^n\left\{a(\theta)\Big(P_i(\alpha,\theta)-\frac{\beta(\alpha,\theta)}{\theta}\Big)<-L\right\}\right\} \qquad (3.20)\\
&\leq\limsup_{\theta\to\infty}\frac{a(\theta)}{\theta}\log P\left\{a(\theta)\Big(P_i(\alpha,\theta)-\frac{\beta(\alpha,\theta)}{\theta}\Big)\leq -L\right\}=-\infty.
\end{aligned}$$

These lead to the exponential tightness and the lemma.

□

Now we are ready to establish the MDP for $(P_1(\alpha,\theta), P_2(\alpha,\theta), \ldots)$.

Theorem 3.4 *For each* $n \geq 1$, *the family* $\Big\{P\Big(a(\theta)\Big(P_1(\theta) - \frac{\beta(\theta)}{\theta}, \cdots, P_n(\theta) - \frac{\beta(\theta)}{\theta}, \cdots\Big) \in \cdot\Big) : \theta > 0\Big\}$ *satisfies a LDP on* $\mathbb{R}^\infty$ *with speed* $\frac{a(\theta)}{\theta}$ *and rate function*

$$I(x_1, x_2, \cdots) = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i, & x_1 \geq \cdots \geq 0 \\ \infty, & \textit{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{3.21}$$

Proof Identify $\mathbb{R}^\infty$ with the projective limit of $\mathbb{R}^n, n = 1, \ldots$. Then the theorem follows from Theorem 3.3 in [4] and Lemma 3.3.

□

4 Moderate Deviations for the Homozygosity

For each $m \geq 2$, it was shown in [12] that the scaled homozygosity

$$\sqrt{\theta}[\frac{\theta^{m-1}\Gamma(1-\alpha)}{\Gamma(m-\alpha)} H_m(\mathbf{P}(\alpha,\theta)) - 1] \Rightarrow Z_{\alpha,m}$$

where $Z_{\alpha,m}$ is a normal random variable with mean zero and variance

$$\sigma^2_{\alpha,m} = \frac{\Gamma(2m-\alpha)\Gamma(1-\alpha)}{\Gamma(m-\alpha)^2} + \alpha - m^2.$$

It is thus natural to consider the MDP for $\frac{\theta^{m-1}}{\Gamma(m)} H_m(\mathbf{P}(\alpha,\theta))$ or equivalently the LDP for the family $\{a(\theta)[\frac{\theta^{m-1}\Gamma(1-\alpha)}{\Gamma(m-\alpha)} H_m(\mathbf{P}(\alpha,\theta)) - 1] : \theta > 0\}$ for a scale $a(\theta)$ satisfying

$$\lim_{\theta\to\infty} a(\theta) = \infty, \lim_{\theta\to\infty} \frac{a(\theta)}{\sqrt{\theta}} = 0, \tag{4.1}$$

which is different from (3.1).

The MDP in the case of $\alpha = 0$ has been established in [11] where that the following additional restriction on $a(\theta)$ is used: for some $0 < \epsilon < 1/(2m-1)$,

$$\liminf_{\theta\to\infty} \frac{a^{1-\varepsilon}(\theta)}{\theta^{(m-1)/(2m-1)}} > 0. \tag{4.2}$$

This condition is also needed for the two-parameter model. As shown in [11], the conditions (4.1) and (4.2) guarantee that there exist $\tau > 0$ positive integer $l \geq 3 \vee \frac{2}{(2m-1)\varepsilon}$, and $r(\theta)$ that grows faster than a positive power of θ such that

$$\lim_{\theta\to\infty} \frac{a(\theta)}{\theta^\tau} = +\infty$$

and

$$\lim_{\theta\to\infty} \frac{r(\theta)^{m-1}}{a^{(l-2)/l}(\theta)} = 0, \quad \lim_{\theta\to\infty} \frac{a^2(\theta)r(\theta)}{\theta} = \infty.$$

For any $n \geq 1$, set

$$\begin{aligned} G^{(n)}_{\alpha,\theta,r} &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} V_i^n(T) I_{\{V_i(T)\leq r(\theta)\}}, \\ G^{(n)}_{\alpha,\theta} &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} V_i^n(T), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$G_{\alpha,\theta,r} = \left(G^{(1)}_{\alpha,\theta,r} - E(G^{(1)}_{\alpha,\theta,r}), G^{(m)}_{\alpha,\theta,r} - E(G^{(m)}_{\alpha,\theta})\right).$$

For any s, t in $\mathbb{R}$, define

$$\Lambda(s,t) = \frac{1}{2}\left(s^2 + \frac{2\Gamma(m-\alpha)\Gamma(m+1)}{\Gamma(m)\Gamma(1-\alpha)} st + (\frac{\Gamma(2m-\alpha)}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} + \alpha(\frac{\Gamma(m-\alpha)}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)})^2)t^2\right).$$

It follows by direct calculation that the Fenchel-Legendre transform of $\Lambda(s,t)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda^*(x,y) &= \sup_{s,t}\{sx + ty - \Lambda(s,t)\} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}{2(\Gamma(1-\alpha)\Gamma(2m-\alpha) + (\alpha - m^2)\Gamma^2(m-\alpha))} \\ &\quad \times \left((\Gamma(2m-\alpha) + \alpha\frac{\Gamma^2(m-\alpha)}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)})x^2 - 2m\Gamma(m-\alpha)xy + \Gamma(1-\alpha)y^2\right), \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

for x, y in $\mathbb{R}$.

Lemma 4.1 *The family* $\{\frac{a(\theta)}{\theta} G_{\alpha,\theta,r} : \theta > 0\}$ *satisfies a LDP on space* $\mathbb{R}^2$ *with speed* $\frac{a^2(\theta)}{\theta}$ *and rate function* $\Lambda^*(\cdot,\cdot)$.

Proof For any $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$, let

$$g(x) = sx + tx^m$$

and

$$\varphi_r(x) = \frac{g(x) I_{\{x\leq r(\theta)\}}}{a(\theta)}.$$

It follows by direct calculation that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^{r(\theta)}(e^{\varphi_r(x)}-1)x^{-(1+\alpha)}e^{-x}dx\\
&=\int_0^{r(\theta)}\frac{g(x)}{a(\theta)}x^{-(1+\alpha)}e^{-x}dx+\frac{1}{2}\int_0^{r(\theta)}\frac{g^2(x)}{a^2(\theta)}x^{-(1+\alpha)}e^{-x}dx\\
&\quad+\sum_{k=3}^{l}\frac{1}{k!}\frac{1}{a^k(\theta)}\int_0^{\gamma(\theta)}|sx+tx^m|^k x^{-(1+\alpha)}e^{-x}dx \qquad (4.4)\\
&\quad+O\left(\sum_{k=l+1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{k!}\frac{1}{a^k(\theta)}(|s|+|t|\gamma(\theta)^{m-1})^k\Gamma(k-\alpha)\right)\\
&=\int_0^{r(\theta)}\frac{g(x)}{a(\theta)}x^{-(1+\alpha)}e^{-x}dx+\frac{1}{2}\int_0^{r(\theta)}\frac{g^2(x)}{a^2(\theta)}x^{-(1+\alpha)}e^{-x}dx+o\left(\frac{1}{a^2(\theta)}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that for θ large enough,

$$|\int_0^{r(\theta)}(e^{\varphi_r(x)}-1)x^{-(1+\alpha)}e^{-x}dx|<c_\alpha^{-1}.$$

By the Campbell's theorem we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
&E\left(\exp\left\{\frac{1}{a(\theta)}(sG^{(1)}_{\alpha,\theta,r}+tG^{(m)}_{\alpha,\theta,r})\right\}\right)\\
&\quad=E\left(\exp\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\varphi_r(V_i(T))\right\}\right)\\
&\quad=E\left(E\left(\exp\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\varphi_r(V_i(T))\right\}|\zeta(\alpha,\theta)\right)\right) \qquad (4.5)\\
&\quad=E\left(\exp\{c_\alpha\gamma(\frac{\theta}{\alpha})\int_0^{r(\theta)}(e^{\varphi_r(x)}-1)x^{-(1+\alpha)}e^{-x}dx\}\right)\\
&\quad=\exp\left\{-\frac{\theta}{\alpha}\log\left(1-c_\alpha\int_0^{r(\theta)}(e^{\varphi_r(x)}-1)x^{-(1+\alpha)}e^{-x}dx\right)\right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Putting (4.4) and (4.5) together, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
&E\left(\exp\left\{\frac{1}{a(\theta)}(s(G^{(1)}_{\alpha,\theta,r}-E(G^{(1)}_{\alpha,\theta,r}))+t(G^{(m)}_{\alpha,\theta,r}-E(G^{(m)}_{\alpha,\theta,r})))\right\}\right)\\
&\quad=\exp\left\{\frac{\theta c_\alpha}{2\alpha a^2(\theta)}\left(c_\alpha(\int_0^\infty g(x)x^{-(1+\alpha)}e^{-x}dx)^2+\int_0^\infty g^2(x)x^{-(1+\alpha)}e^{-x}dx+o(\frac{1}{a^2(\theta)})\right)\right\}\\
&\quad=\exp\left(\frac{\theta}{a^2(\theta)}(\Lambda(s,t)+o(\frac{1}{a^2(\theta)}))\right),
\end{aligned}$$

which leads to

$$\lim_{\theta\to\infty}\frac{a^2(\theta)}{\theta}\log E\left(\exp\left\{\frac{1}{a(\theta)}[s(G^{(1)}_{\alpha,\theta,r}-E(G^{(1)}_{\alpha,\theta,r}))+t(G^{(m)}_{\alpha,\theta,r}-E(G^{(m)}_{\alpha,\theta,r}))]\right\}\right)=\Lambda(s,t). \tag{4.6}$$

The lemma now follows from (4.3) and the Gärtner-Ellis theorem.

□

Lemma 4.2 *Set*

$$G_{\alpha,\theta}=\left(T-\theta,G^{(m)}_{\alpha,\theta}-E(G^{(m)}_{\alpha,\theta})\right).$$

Then the family $\{\frac{a(\theta)}{\theta}G_{\alpha,\theta}:\theta>0\}$ *satisfies a LDP with speed* $\frac{a^2(\theta)}{\theta}$ *and the rate function* $\Lambda^*(x,y)$.

Proof By definition for any $n\geq 1$ and any $\delta>0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\limsup_{\theta\to\infty}\frac{a^2(\theta)}{\theta}\log P\left(\left|G^{(m)}_{\alpha,\theta,r}-G^{(m)}_{\alpha,\theta}\right|\geq\delta\frac{\theta}{a(\theta)}\right)\\
\leq&\limsup_{\theta\to\infty}\frac{a^2(\theta)}{\theta}\log P\left(V_1(T)\geq r(\theta)\right)\\
=&\limsup_{\theta\to\infty}\frac{a^2(\theta)}{\theta}\log\left(1-\left(1+\frac{c_\alpha}{r^\alpha(\theta)}\int_1^\infty z^{-(1+\alpha)}e^{-r(\theta)z}dz\right)^{-\theta/\alpha}\right)\\
\leq&\limsup_{\theta\to\infty}\frac{a^2(\theta)}{\theta}\log\left(1-\left(1+\frac{c_\alpha}{r^{(1+\alpha)}(\theta)e^{r(\theta)}}\right)^{-\theta/\alpha}\right)\\
=&\limsup_{\theta\to\infty}\frac{a^2(\theta)}{\theta}\log\left(\frac{\theta}{\alpha}\log\left(1+\frac{c_\alpha}{r^{(1+\alpha)}(\theta)e^{r(\theta)}}\right)\right)\\
\leq&-\limsup_{\theta\to\infty}\frac{a^2(\theta)r(\theta)}{\theta}\left(1-\frac{\log\theta}{r(\theta)}\right)\\
=&-\infty.
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\frac{a(\theta)}{\theta}G_{\alpha,\theta,r}$ and $\frac{a(\theta)}{\theta}G_{\alpha,\theta}$ are exponentially equivalent. Therefore $\left(\frac{a(\theta)}{\theta}G_{\alpha,\theta},\frac{a^2(\theta)}{\theta},\Lambda^*\right)$ satisfies LDP.

□

Now we are ready to prove the main result of this section.

Theorem 4.3 *The family* $a(\theta)\left(\frac{\theta^{m-1}\Gamma(1-\alpha)}{\Gamma(m-\alpha)}H_m(\mathbf{P}(\alpha,\theta))-1\right)$ *satisfies a LDP with speed* $\frac{a^2(\theta)}{\theta}$ *and rate function* $\frac{z^2}{2\sigma^2_{\alpha,m}}$.

Proof By direct calculation,

$$\begin{aligned}
&a(\theta)\left(\frac{\theta^{m-1}\Gamma(1-\alpha)}{\Gamma(m-\alpha)}H_m(\mathbf{P}(\alpha,\theta))-1\right)\\
&= a(\theta)\left(\frac{\theta^{m-1}G^{(m)}_{\alpha,\theta}}{T^m\Gamma(m-\alpha)/\Gamma(1-\alpha)}-1\right)\\
&= a(\theta)\left(\left(\frac{\theta}{T}\right)^m-1\right)+\left(\frac{\theta}{T}\right)^m\frac{a(\theta)(G^{(m)}_{\alpha,\theta}-E(G^{(m)}_{\alpha,\theta})}{\theta\Gamma(m-\alpha)/\Gamma(1-\alpha)}\\
&= \frac{a(\theta)}{\theta}(\theta-T)\sum_{k=1}^{m}\left(\frac{\theta}{T}\right)^k+\left(\frac{\theta}{T}\right)^m\frac{a(\theta)(G^{(m)}_{\alpha,\theta}-E(G^{(m)}_{\alpha,\theta})}{\theta\Gamma(m-\alpha)/\Gamma(1-\alpha)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Noting that for any $i\geq 1$ and for any $\delta>0$,

$$\lim_{\theta\to\infty}\frac{a^2(\theta)}{\theta}\log P\left(\left|\left(\frac{\theta}{T}\right)^i-1\right|\geq\delta\right)=-\infty.$$

It then follows that

$$a(\theta)\left(\frac{\theta^{m-1}\Gamma(1-\alpha)}{\Gamma(m-\alpha)}H_m(\mathbf{P}(\alpha,\theta))-1\right)$$

and

$$\frac{a(\theta)m(\theta-T)}{\theta}+\frac{a(\theta)(G^{(m)}_{\alpha,\theta}-E(G^{(m)}_{\alpha,\theta}))}{\theta\Gamma(m-\alpha)/\Gamma(1-\alpha)}$$

are exponentially equivalent, and so they have the same LDP.

The fact that

$$\inf_{\frac{y\Gamma(1-\alpha)}{\Gamma(m-\alpha)}-mx=z}\Lambda^*(x,y)=\frac{z^2}{2\sigma^2_{\alpha,m}},$$

combined with Lemma 4.2 and the contraction principle implies the theorem.

□

5 LDP for Small Parameters

Let

$$\nabla=\left\{\mathbf{p}=(p_1,p_2,\ldots):p_1\geq p_2\geq\cdots\geq 0,\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}p_i\leq 1\right\}$$

be equipped with the subspace topology of $[0,1]^\infty$, and $M_1(\nabla)$ be the space of all probability measures on ∇ equipped with the weak topology. Then $\Pi_{\alpha,\theta}$ belongs to $M_1(\nabla)$.

For any $\delta>0$, it follows from the GEM representation (1.1) that

$$P\left(X_1^{\alpha,\theta}>1-\delta\right)\leq P\left(P_1(\alpha,\theta)>1-\delta\right).$$

By direct calculation, we have

$$\lim_{\alpha+\theta\to 0} P\left(X_1^{\alpha,\theta} > 1-\delta\right) = 1.$$

Therefore, $\Pi_{\alpha,\theta}$ converges in $M_1(\nabla)$ to $\delta_{(1,0,\ldots)}$ as $\alpha+\theta$ converges to zero. In this section, we establish the LDP associated with this limit. This is a two-parameter generalization to the result in [10].

For any $n \geq 1$, set

$$\nabla_n = \left\{(p_1, ..., p_n, 0, 0, ...) \in \nabla : \sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1\right\},$$
$$\nabla_\infty = \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty \nabla_i,$$

and

$$a(\alpha,\theta) = \alpha \vee |\theta|,\ b(\alpha,\theta) = (-\log(a(\alpha,\theta))^{-1}.$$

Then we have

Lemma 5.1 *The family of laws of* $\{P_1(\alpha,\theta) : \alpha+\theta > 0, 0 < \alpha < 1\}$ *satisfies a LDP on* $[0,1]$ *as* $a(\alpha,\theta)$ *goes to zero with speed* $b(\alpha,\theta)$ *and rate function*

$$S_1(p) = \begin{cases} 0, & p = 1 \\ k, & p \in [\frac{1}{k+1}, \frac{1}{k}), k = 1, 2, \ldots \\ \infty, & p = 0. \end{cases} \tag{5.1}$$

Proof Let $\{X_i^{\alpha,\theta} : i = 1, 2, \ldots\}$ be defined in (1.1). For any $n \geq 1$, set

$$\tilde{P}_1^n(\alpha,\theta) = \max\{X_i^{\alpha,\theta} : 1 \leq i \leq n\}.$$

Then it follows from direct calculation that for any $\delta > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} P\{P_1(\alpha,\theta) - \tilde{P}_1^n(\alpha,\theta) > \delta\} &\leq P\{(1-U_1)\cdots(1-U_n) \geq \delta\} \\ &\leq \delta^{-1} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\theta + i\alpha}{\theta + i\alpha + 1 - \alpha}, \end{aligned}$$

which leads to

$$\limsup_{a(\alpha,\theta)\to 0} b(\alpha,\theta) \log P\{P_1(\alpha,\theta) - \tilde{P}_1^n(\alpha,\theta) > \delta\} \leq -n.$$

Thus the families $\{\tilde{P}_1^n(\alpha,\theta) : 0<\alpha<1, \theta+\alpha>0\}_{n=1,2,\ldots}$ are exponential good approximations to the family $\{P_1(\alpha,\theta) : 0<\alpha<1,\theta+\alpha>0\}$. By the contraction principle, the family $\{\tilde{P}_1^n(\alpha,\theta) : 0<\alpha<1,\theta+\alpha>0\}$ satisfies a LDP on $[0,1]$ as $a(\alpha,\theta)$ goes to zero with speed $b(\alpha,\theta)$ and rate function

$$I_n(p) = \begin{cases} 0, & p=1 \\ k, & p \in [\frac{1}{k+1}, \frac{1}{k}), k=1,2,\ldots,n-1 \\ n, & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

The lemma now follows from the fact that

$$S_1(p) = \sup_{\delta>0} \liminf_{n\to\infty} \inf_{|q-p|<\delta} I_n(q).$$

□

Theorem 5.1 *The family* $\{\Pi_{\alpha,\theta} : \alpha+\theta>0, 0<\alpha<1\}$ *satisfies a LDP on* ∇ *as* $a(\alpha,\theta)$ *goes to zero with speed* $b(\alpha,\theta)$ *and rate function*

$$S(\mathbf{p}) = \begin{cases} n-1, & \mathbf{p}\in\nabla_n, p_n>0, n\geq 1 \\ \infty, & \mathbf{p}\notin\nabla_\infty. \end{cases} \tag{5.2}$$

Proof It suffices to establish the LDP for finite dimensional marginal distributions since the infinite dimensional LDP can be derived from the finite dimensional LDP through approximation. For any $n\geq 2$, $(P_1(\alpha,\theta),P_2(\alpha,\theta),\ldots P_n(\alpha,\theta))$ and $(P_1(0,\alpha+\theta),P_2(0,\alpha+\theta),\ldots P_n(0,\alpha+\theta))$ have respective joint density functions

$$h_{\alpha,\theta,n}(p_1,\cdots,p_n) = C_{\alpha,\theta,n} \frac{(1-\sum_{i=1}^n p_i)^{\theta+n\alpha-1}}{\prod_{i=1}^n p_i} P\left(P_1(\alpha,n\alpha+\theta) \leq \frac{p_n}{1-\sum_{i=1}^n p_i}\right),$$

and

$$g_{\alpha+\theta,n} = (\alpha+\theta)^n \frac{(1-\sum_{i=1}^n p_i)^{\theta+\alpha-1}}{\prod_{i=1}^n p_i} P\left(P_1(0,\alpha+\theta) \leq \frac{p_n}{1-\sum_{i=1}^n p_i}\right)$$

Since $\lim_{a(\alpha,\theta)\to 0} b(\alpha,\theta)\log(\alpha+\theta) = -1$ and $\lim_{a(\alpha,\theta)\to 0} b(\alpha,\theta) C_{\alpha,\theta,n} = -n$, it follows from Lemma 2.4 in [10] and Lemma 5.1 that the family of laws of $(P_1(\alpha,\theta),P_2(\alpha,\theta),\ldots P_n(\alpha,\theta))$ satisfies a LDP as $a(\alpha,\theta)$ goes to zero with speed $b(\alpha,\theta)$ and rate function

$$S_n(p_1,...,p_n) = \begin{cases} 0, & (p_1,p_2,...,p_n) = (1,0...,0) \\ l-1, & 2\leq l\leq n, \sum_{k=1}^l p_k = 1, p_l>0 \\ n + S_1\left(\frac{p_n}{1-\sum_{i=1}^n p_i} \wedge 1\right), & \sum_{k=1}^n p_k < 1, p_n>0 \\ \infty, & \text{else.} \end{cases} \tag{5.3}$$

□

Acknowledgement

Fuqing Gao would like to thank the Department of Mathematics and Statistics at McMaster University for their hospitality during his visit.

References

[1] Aoki, M. (2006). Thermodynamic limit of macroeconomic or financial models: one- and two-parameter Poisson-Dirichlet models. Preprint.

[2] Carlton, M. A. (1999). Applications of the two-parameter Poisson-Dirichlet distribution. Unpublished Ph.D. thesis, Dept. of Statistics, University of California, Los Angeles.

[3] Dawson, D.and Feng, S. (2006). Asymptotic behavior of Poisson-Dirichlet distribution for large mutation rate. *Ann. Appl. Probab.*, **16**, 562-582.

[4] Dawson, D. and Gärtner, J. (1987). Large deviations from the McKean-Vlasov limit for weakly interacting diffusions *Stochastics*, **20**, 247-308.

[5] Dembo, A. and Zeitouni, O. (1998). *Large deviations techniques and applications.* Second edition. Applications of Mathematics, **38**. Springer-Verlag, New York.

[6] Derrida, B. (1997). From random walks to spin glasses. *Physica D*, **107**, 186-198.

[7] Diaconis,P.W. and Kemperman, J. H. B. (1996). Some new tools for Dirichlet priors. *Bayesian statistics*, **5**(Alicante, 1994), pp. 97-106, Oxford Univ. Press, New York.

[8] Ewens, W. J. (1972). The sampling theory of selectively nuetral alleles. *Theor. Pop. Biol.*, **3**, 87–112.

[9] Feng, S. (2007). Large deviations for Dirichlet processes and Poisson-Dirichlet distribution with two parameters. *Electro. J. Probab.*, **12**, 787-807.

[10] Feng, S. (2009). Poisson-Dirichlet distribution with small mutation rate. *Stoch. Proc. Appl.*, **116**, 2082-2094.

[11] Feng, S. and Gao, F. Q. (2008). Moderate deviations for Poisson-Dirichlet distribution. *Ann. Appl. Probab.*, **18**, 1794-1824.

[12] Handa, K. (2007). The two-parameter Poisson-Dirichlet point process. arXiv: math/0705.3496v2.

[13] Kingman, J. F. C. (1975). Random discrete distributions. *J. Roy. Stat. Soc. Ser. B,* **37**, 1-22.

[14] Perman, M. (1993). Order statistics for jumps of normalised subordinators. *Stoch. Proc. Appl.*, **46**, 267-281.

[15] Perman, M., Pitman, J. and Yor,M. (1992). Size-biased sampling of Poisson point processes and excursions. *Prob. Th. Rel. Fields*, **92**, 21-39.

[16] Pitman, J. (1992). The two-parameter generalization of Ewen's random partition structure. Technical Report 345, Dept. Statistics, University of California,Berkeley.

[17] Pitman, J. and Yor, M. (1997). The two-parameter Poisson-Dirichlet distribution derived from a stable subordinator. *Ann. Probab.*, **25**, 855-900.

[18] Tsilevich, N. V. (1997). Distribution of mean values for some random measures. *Zap. Nauchn. Sem., POMI* **240**, 268-279; translation in J. Math. Sci. (New York) **96** (1999), no. 5, 3616-3623.